

44th International Conference ON ORGANIZATIONAL SCIENCE DEVELOPMENT

**Human Being, Artificial Intelligence
and Organization**

44. mednarodna konferenca O RAZVOJU ORGANIZACIJSKIH ZNANOSTI

**Človek, umetna inteligenca
in organizacija**

Editors/Uredniki

**Polona Šprajc
Damjan Maletič
Nataša Petrović
Iztok Podbregar
Andrej Škraba
Daniel Tomić
Anja Žnidaršič Mohorič**



University of Maribor Press



University of Maribor

Faculty of Organizational Sciences

**44th International
Conference on Organizational Science Development
Human Being, Artificial Intelligence and
Organization**

*44. mednarodna
konferenca o razvoju organizacijskih znanosti
Človek, umetna inteligenca in organizacija*

Conference Proceedings

Konferenčni zbornik

Uredniki / *Editors*

Polona Šprajc

Damjan Maletič

Nataša Petrović

Iztok Podbregar

Andrej Škraba

Daniel Tomić

Anja Žnidaršič Mohorič

March 2025

Title <i>Naslov</i>	44th International Conference on Organizational Science Development <i>44. mednarodna konferenca o razvoju organizacijskih znanosti</i>
Subtitle <i>Podnaslov</i>	Human Being, Artificial Intelligence and Organization, Conference Proceedings <i>Človek, umetna inteligenca in organizacija, konferenčni zbornik</i>
Editors <i>Uredniki</i>	Polona Šprajc (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia)
	Damjan Maletič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia)
	Nataša Petrović (University of Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Serbia)
	Iztok Podbregar (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia)
	Andrej Škraba (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia)
	Daniel Tomić (Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Faculty of Economics and Tourism "Dr. Mijo Mirković", Croatia)
	Anja Žnidaršič Mohorič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia)
Review <i>Recenzija</i>	Olja Arsenijević (Institut of Serbian Culture, Pristina/Leposavic, Serbia), Alenka Baggia (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Mojca Bernik (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Mirjana Kljajić Borštnar (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Eva Jereb (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Janja Jerebic (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Gregor Lenart (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Damjan Maletič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Matjaž Maletič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Miha Marič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Marjeta Marolt (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Dušan Mežnar (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Maja Meško (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Vesna Novak (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Uroš Rajković (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Vladislav Rajković (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Marjan Senegačnik (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Polona Šprajc (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Daniel Tomić (Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Faculty of Economics and Tourism "Dr. Mijo Mirković", Croatia), Marko Urh (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Goran Vuković (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Anja Žnidaršič Mohorič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia)
Technical editors <i>Tehnična urednika</i>	Aljaž Murko (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences)
	Jan Perša (University of Maribor, University Press)
Cover designer <i>Oblikovanje ovitka</i>	Jan Perša (University of Maribor, University Press)

Graphics material <i>Grafične priloge</i>	Sources are own unless otherwise noted. Authors & Šprajc, Maletič, Petrovič, Podbregar, Škraba, Tomić, Žnidaršič Mohorič (editors), 2025
Conference <i>Konferenca</i>	44 th International Conference on Organizational Science Development: Human Being, Artificial Intelligence and Organization
Location and date <i>Kraj in datum</i>	March 19 – 21, 2025, Portorož, Slovenia
Programme committee <i>Programski odbor</i>	Polona Šprajc (president of comitte, University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Alenka Baggia (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Zvone Balantič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia) Mojca Bernik (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Roberto Biloslavo (University of Primorska, Faculty of Management, Slovenia), Alenka Brezavšek (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Vesna Bucevska (Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Faculty of Economics - Skopje, North Macedonia), Kristina Črnjar (University of Rijeka, Faculty of Tourism and Hospitality management, Croatia), Petr Doucek (University of Economics, Prague, Faculty of Informatics and Statistics, Czech Republic), Tomaž Kern (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Dražen Koški (J. J. Strossmayer University of Osijek, Faculty of Economics in Osijek, Croatia), Mateja Lorber (University of Maribor Faculty of Health Sciences, Slovenia), Miha Marič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Sanja Marinković (University of Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Serbia), Marjeta Marolt (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Christoph Maus (RWTH Aachen University, Germany), Slavica Medić (University of Novi Sad), Bjoern Paape (RWTH Aachen University, Germany), Bjoern Paape (RWTH Aachen University, Germany), Anita Pavković (University of Zagreb, Faculty of Economics and Business, Croatia), Nataša Petrovič (University of Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Serbia), Iztok Podbregar (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Andreja Pucihar (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Uroš Rajković (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Vladislav Rajković (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Daniel Tomić (Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Faculty of Economics and Tourism “Dr. Mijo Mirkovic”, Croatia), Martina Tomičič Furjan (University of Zagreb, Faculty of Organization and Informatics, Croatia), Marko Urh (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Goran Vuković (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Anja Žnidaršič Mohorič (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia)
Organizational committee <i>Organizacijski odbor</i>	Polona Šprajc (president of comitte, University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Urša Bižić (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Petra Gorjanc (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Klara Knific (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Ana Kranner Porenta (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Aljaž Murko (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia), Iztok Podbregar (University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Slovenia)
Published by <i>Založnik</i>	University of Maribor, University Press Slomškov trg 15, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia https://press.um.si , zalozba@um.si
Issued by <i>Izdajatelj</i>	University of Maribor, Faculty of Organizational Sciences Kidričeva cesta 55a, Kranj, Slovenia http://www.fov.um.si , dekanat.fov@um.si

Edition <i>Izdaja</i>	1 st
Publication type <i>Vrsta publikacija</i>	E-book
Available at <i>Dostopno na</i>	https://press.um.si/index.php/ump/catalog/book/962
Published <i>Izdano</i>	Maribor, Slovenia, March 2025



© University of Maribor, University Press
/ Univerza v Mariboru, Univerzitetna založba

Text / Besedilo ©authors & Šprajc, Maletič, Petrovič, Podbregar, Škraba, Tomič, Žnidaršič Mohorič (editors), 2025

This book is published under a Creative Commons 4.0 International licence (CC BY 4.0). This license lets others remix, tweak, and build upon your work even for commercial purposes, as long as they credit you and license their new creations under the identical terms. This license is often compared to “copyleft” free and open source software licenses.

Any third-party material in this book is published under the book’s Creative Commons licence unless indicated otherwise in the credit line to the material. If you would like to reuse any third-party material not covered by the book’s Creative Commons licence, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

CIP - Kataložni zapis o publikaciji
Univerzitetna knjižnica Maribor

005.7:004(082) (0.034.2)

MEDNARODNA konferenca o razvoju organizacijskih znanosti (44 ; 2025 ; Portorož)
44th International Conference on Organizational Science Development [Elektronski vir] : human being, artificial intelligence and organization : conference proceedings = 44. mednarodna konferenca o razvoju organizacijskih znanosti = človek, umetna inteligenca in organizacija = konferenčni zbornik : [March 19 – 21, 2025, Portorož] / uredniki, editors Polona Šprajc ... [et al.]. - 1st ed. - E-zbornik. - Maribor : University of Maribor, University Press, 2025

Način dostopa (URL): <https://press.um.si/index.php/ump/catalog/book/962>

ISBN 978-961-286-963-2 (PDF)

doi: 10.18690/um.fov.2.2.2025

COBISS.SI-ID 228958979

ISBN 978-961-286-963-2 (pdf)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.18690/um.fov.2.2.2025>

Price
Cena Free copy

For publisher Prof. Dr. Zdravko Kačič,
Odgovorna oseba založnika Rector of University of Maribor

Attribution Šprajc P., Maletič, D., Petrovič, N., Podbregar, I., Škraba, A.,
Citiranje Tomič, D., Žnidaršič Mohorič, A. (2025). 44th International Conference on Organizational Science Development: Human Being, Artificial Intelligence and Organization: Conference Proceedings. University of Maribor, University Press. doi: 10.18690/um.fov.2.2.2025

Table of Contents

1	Analiza promocije zdravja in preventive na področju raka v sklopu projekta CanCon JA <i>Analysis of Health Promotion and Cancer Prevention Within the CanCon JA Project</i> Maja Arnič, Brina Bračko, Marjetka Jelenc	1
2	Tools and Guidelines for Sustainable Student Mobility Alenka Baggia, Alenka Brezavšček, Katarina Pažur Aničič, Martina Tomičič Furjan, Lucie Lendelova, Nataša Petrović	13
3	Preučevanje implementacije »Pravice do odklopa« v praksi <i>Examining the Implementation of the "Right to Disconnect" in Practice</i> Nejc Bernik, Polona Šprajc, Eva Jereb	27
4	Can AI Bridge the Productivity Gap of an Aging Workforce? Maruša Bizjak Ferjan, Katja Debelak	41
5	The Impact of Enterprises Open Data Maturity Level on the Attainment of Sustainable Development Goals - Theme Analysis Staša Blatnik	55
6	Tutorstvo kot podporni steber pri izboljšanju študijske uspešnosti študentov <i>Tutoring as a Supportive Pillar for Improving Student Academic Performance</i> Julijana Božnar, Vesna Novak	69
7	Razvoj trgovalnega agenta z jezikom MQL4 <i>Development of Trading Agent Using MQL4 Language</i> Mihailo Božović, Robert Leskovar, Borut Werber	83
8	Neizkoriščen potencial shranjevanja energije v gospodinskih napravah za stabilizacijo električnega omrežja <i>Unexplored Energy Storage Potential in Household Appliances for Electric Grid Stabilization</i> Uroš Breskvar	93

9	Pozitivno modeliranje in opolnomočenje kot osrednja dejavnika uspešnega akademskega mentorstva <i>Positive Modeling and Empowerment as a Central Factor of Successful Academic Mentorship</i> Aila Civić	109
10	Side Effects of Using Virtual Reality Tools and Their Measurement Samantha Cossio, Gaia Magro, Sara Dentice, Stefania Chiappinotto, Alessandro Galazzi	123
11	Green Education in Healthcare: What Does It Mean? Findings from a Literature Review Michela Cucchiario, Renzo Moreale, Chiara Moreal, Sara Dentice, Gaia Magro, Gaia Dussi, Alvisa Palese, Stefania Chiappinotto	133
12	Uporaba spletne učilnice in umetne inteligence za namene izobraževanja zdravstvenih dispečerjev <i>Using an Online Classroom and Artificial Intelligence for the Education of Medical Dispatchers</i> Boštjan Čavničar, Matevž Golob	143
13	From Regulation to Implementation: Challenges in the European Data Economy Nejc Čelik, Matevž Pesek, Klara Žnideršič, Jure Juvan, Staša Blatnik, Gregor Lenart, Mirjana Kljajić Borštnar	155
14	Graph Neural Networks and Deep Reinforcement Learning in Warehouse Order Picking and Batching - Literature Review Nejc Čelik, Andrej Škraba	169
15	Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) for Health Professionals: What We Can Find in the Literature Gaia Dussi, Laura Tonzar, Gaia Magro, Chiara Moreal, Stefania Chiappinotto	181
16	Improving Procurement Process Management by Application of KPIs in the Company from Metal Industry Sandra Đukanović, Danica Lečić-Cvetković, Teodora Rajković	187
17	Reducing Production Costs by Minimizing Errors in the Operational Production: A Case Study of Company Vendom Sandra Đukanović, Danica Lečić-Cvetković, Teodora Rajković	201

18	Prediction of Student Performance in a Series of Related Courses of the Undergraduate Program of the Department of Computer, Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering – International Hellenic University Panagis Eleftherios, Athanasios Angeioplastis, Alkiviadis Tsimpiris, Dimitrios Varsamis	213
19	(Un)Secure Booking: Security Risks Within Facebook Groups Accommodation Reservations Mihaela Franjić, Barbara Pavlaković Farrell	221
20	The Role of AI in Transforming Global Development and Society Danka Gaev, Jasna Petković, Danica Lečić Cvetković	235
21	Kompetence trenerjev alpskega smučanja <i>Competencies of Alpine Skiing Coaches</i> Timi Gašperin, Iztok Podbregar, Bojana Vasić, Marina Dežman	247
22	»Sacred Halls vs Public Malls?« The Paradox of University Culture in a Competitive Age Balázs Heidrich, Tamás Németh, Richárd Kása, Nicholas Chandler	259
23	After Forever – The Continuity Model of Family Business Goal Setting: A Theoretical Framework and Practical Applications Balázs Heidrich, Nóra Vajdovich	273
24	The Impact of Work Models on Organizational Commitment Blerina Hoti, Mojca Bernik	285
25	Transformacija BPMN zapisa v Java programsko kodo <i>Transforming BPMN Notation into Java Code</i> Janko Hriberšek, Robert Leskovar, Alenka Baggia	305
26	Entrepreneurship Empowered by Artificial Intelligence Nikola Janković, Danica Lečić-Cvetković, Teodora Rajković	319
27	Varstvo pred spletnimi prevarami <i>Protection Against Online Scams</i> Mirko Javeršek	331
28	Temelji EU mreže centrov za celostno obvladovanje raka uspešno postavljeni <i>Foundations of EU Network of Comprehensive Cancer Centers Successfully Laid</i> Marjetka Jelenc, Tit Albreht	349

29	Unlocking the Future of Talent Acquisition: Integrating AI and Innovative Tools for Enhanced Efficiency <i>Tatjana Jovanovic</i>	359
30	Strateško predvidevanje zelene in digitalne transformacije v perspektivi najboljših razpoložljivih tehnologij <i>Strategic Foresight of Green and Digital Transformation in the Perspective of Best Available Technologies</i> Špela Kajzer, Anja Šketa, Melani Potrč, Klemen Tršinar, Alenka Brezavšček, Drago Bokal	371
31	Digitalna podpora krožnemu gospodarstvu – projekt DECIDE <i>Digital Services for Circular Economy - Project DECIDE</i> Tomaž Kern, Eva Krhač Andrašec, Tilen Medved, Benjamin Urh	389
32	Umetna inteligenca in komunikacija visokošolskih zavodov z mednarodnimi študenti <i>Artificial Intelligence and Communication Between Higher Education Institutions and International Students</i> Jana Knez, Iztok Podbregar, Gordan Akrap	405
33	Umetna inteligenca v integriranem sistemu managementa v letalstvu <i>Artificial Intelligence in an Integrated Management System in Aviation</i> Sandi Knez, Polona Šprajc, Goran Jandreoski, Franc Željko Županič	419
34	Tax Fraud in Financial Statement – Identifying and Measuring Tax Evasion Factors (A Literature and Methodological Review) <i>Blerta Kodra (Doka), Sotirag Dhamo</i>	437
35	Vpliv slušne disfunkcije starejših zaposlenih na ergonomske smernice oblikovanja delovnih mest <i>The Impact of Hearing Dysfunction in Older Workers on Ergonomic Guidelines for Workplace Design</i> Albin Kotnik, Matjaž Maletič, Zvone Balantič	451
36	The Concept Of Green Economy As a Challenge and Development Guideline of Gorski Kotar <i>Mirjana Kovačić, Ana Perić Hadžić, Srđan Kerčević</i>	463
37	Procesni management kot ključni dejavnik dolgoročnega obstoja poslovnih sistemov <i>Process Management as a Key Factor in The Long-Term Viability of Business Systems</i> Sara Kremsar, Tomaž Kern, Eva Krhač Andrašec	485

38	Fake News in the Digital Age: the Role of Science and Media Literacy in Identifying Truth Branko Lobnikar, Nejc Kotnik, Brigita Krsnik Horvat	503
39	Pomen varnostne kulture za varno in kakovostno obravnavo <i>The Importance of Safety Culture for Safe and Quality Care</i> Mateja Lorber, Mojca Dobnik	517
40	Povprečno ekonomsko breme demence v Sloveniji in na osebo v obdobju 2019-2023 <i>Average Economic Burden of Dementia in Slovenia and per Person in the Period 2019-2023</i> Mercedes Lovrečič, Barbara Lovrečič	529
41	Umetna inteligenca in organizacija sistema javnega zdravstva <i>Artificial Intelligence and Public Health Systemt</i> Nevenka Maher	541
42	Communication Strategies as Key of Change Leadership Milena Marjanović	557
43	Assessing the Impact of Paid Media on Student Enrollment Decisions in Croatian Higher Education: A Comparative Analysis of Media Types Boris Marjanović, David Košara	569
44	Learning Analytics Among University Teachers: Preliminary Findings Marjeta Marolt, Danijela Jakšić, Vanja Slavuj, Anja Žnidaršič Mohorič	583
45	Optimizacija ocene ergonomskih tveganj z uporabo pospeškomerov v 4D okolju <i>Optimising Ergonomic Risk Assessment Using Accelerometers in a 4D Environment</i> Tilen Medved, Zvone Balantič, Branka Jarc Kovačič	595
46	Ergonomics Through the Lens of Gamification Tilen Medved, Bogdan Okreša Đurić	611
47	Uporaba umetne inteligence za izboljšano odločanje v management <i>Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Managerial Decision Making</i> Maja Meško, Tine Bertancel	625
48	Umetna inteligenca in etična vprašanja v managementu: Iskanje ravnotežja <i>Artificial Intelligence and Ethical Issues in Management: Seeking Balance</i> Maja Meško, Mirjana Pejić Bach, Tine Bertancel	633

49	Vpliv umetne inteligence na upravljanje oskrbovalnih verig <i>The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Supply Chain Management Title</i> Dušan Mežnar, Benjamin Urh	643
50	Digital Education for Self-Care Behavior to Prevent Respiratory Infections in Vulnerable Populations: A Review Chiara Moreal, Stefania Chiappinotto, Sara Dentice, Alvisa Palese, Beata Dobrowolska	657
51	Poučevanje higiene rok z uporabo navidezne resničnosti <i>Teaching Hand Hygiene Using Virtual Reality</i> Dominika Muršec, Adrijana Svenšek, Urška Rozman, Miha Lavrič, Sonja Šostar Turk	669
52	The Gender Pay Gap in the Czech Republic Lea Nedomova, Milos Maryska, Petr Doucek	681
53	Izzivi in priložnosti nasledstva v družinskih podjetjih: Slovenski kontekst in praktične rešitve <i>Challenges and Opportunities of Succession in Family Businesses: Slovenian Context and Practical Solutions</i> Anastasiya Nikolaeva Stoyanovich, Domen Deu, Miha Marič, Ivan Todorović	693
54	The Journey to School as an Influencing Factor on School Grades at Vocational Colleges Björn Paape, Christoph Maus, Iwona Kiereta, Yasemin Demir, Jonas Demming, Antonia Homfeld, Philipp Klubert, Erin Korac, Ronai Kutlu, Sebastian Lachmann, Diana Schreiber, Amir Skrijeli, Vanessa Maria Wolber Allegra, Jana Wrutschinski	705
55	Adoption of Shared Economy: Case of Airbnb in the Czech Republic Antonin Pavlíček, František Sudzina	721
56	Metodologija za kvalitativno vrednotenje odprtih podatkov <i>Methodology For Qualitative Evaluation of Open Data</i> Matevž Pesek, Jure Juvan, Klara Žnideršič, Matija Marolt	729
57	Trailblazing Sustainability: Lessons from University Efforts so Far Nataša Petrović, Sandra Jednak, Jelena Andreja Radaković, Marko Čirović, Dušan Savić	743
58	Interni akti in pravni procesi v organizacijah ter umetna inteligenca <i>Internal Acts and Legal Processes in Organizations and Artificial Intelligence</i> Rožle Prezelj, Albin Igličar	755

59	Organization of the "Court/Judicial Law" in Croatia and EU as a Synthesis of Civil-Commercial and Criminal Law Oliver Radolović	767
60	Novosti v nacionalni rešitvi eNaročanje <i>New Features in the National eAppointment Solution</i> Živa Rant, Hajdi Kosednar, Dalibor Stanimirović	785
61	Towards Goal-Oriented Recommender- and DataSpace-Driven Volunteering Johannes Schönböck, Wieland Schwinger, Werner Retschitzegger, Elisabeth Kapsammer, Birgit Pröll, Christoph Angster	797
62	Javnozdravstveni problemi in vpliv UI na ekonomsko breme bolezni <i>Public Health Issues and the Impact of AI on the Economic Burden of Diseases</i> Sabina Sedlak, Marjetka Jelenc	811
63	SWOT Analysis of Early Exposure to Artificial Intelligence Competencies, Illustrated by an Example of Reinforcement Learning Accessible to Lower Secondary School Students Tanja Sekulić, Janja Jerebic, Jelena Stojanov, Drago Bokal	825
64	Uporaba umetne inteligence pri izobraževanju študentov zdravstvene nege <i>The Use of Artificial Intelligence in the Education of Nursing Students</i> Marta Smodiš, Sanela Pivač, Sedina Kalender Smajlović	843
65	Enhancing Student Mobility in Higher Education: A Case Study on Application Architecture and Digital Services Supporting Processes and Transactions Vjerran Strahonja, Katarina Pažur Aničić, Izabela Oletić Tušek	853
66	Managing Innovation in the Modern Business Environment Luka Šebalj, Rozana Veselica Celić	867
67	Umetna inteligenca in njen vpliv na bolniški stalež <i>Artificial Intelligence and Its Impact on Sick Leave</i> Nevenka Šestan, Danijela Kralj, Boža Novljan	879
68	Agilno modeliranje lastne cene s prilagodljivo natančnostjo <i>Agile Modeling of Production Price with Adaptive Precision</i> Anja Šketa, Andraž Čevka, Dominik Jagodic, Darja Šter, Matija Rifl, Drago Bokal	891

69	Innovation and Overview of Technologies in the Open Educational Resources Andrej Škraba, Bekim Fetaji, Zoran Zdravev, Aleksandar Velinov, Bojan Vavtar, Franc Lavrič	911
70	Cultivating a Sustainable Mindset in Higher Education Martina Tomičič Furjan, Larisa Hrustek, Nikola Sakač	923
71	Green GDP vs. Green Growth Index: Comparative Insights for Sustainable Development Metrics Daniel Tomić, Anica Dobran Černjul	935
72	Adaptive Neural Network Models for Tourism Preference Prediction: A Case Study in Serres Sotiris Tsakiridis, Nikolaos Papaioannou, Vasiliki Vrana, Dimitrios Varsamis	951
73	Data-Centric Approach to Short-Term Water Demand Prediction Using Big Data and Deep Learning Techniques Alkiviadis Tsimpiris, Georgios Myllis, Vasiliki Vrana	961
74	Izboljšanje podjetniške izkušnje: Podporno okolje za trajnostni razvoj podjetništva v Sloveniji <i>Enhancing the Entrepreneurial Experience: A Supportive Environment For Sustainable Entrepreneurship Development In Slovenia</i> Lea Ulčar, Dejan Marinčič, Miha Marič, Maja Djurica	975
75	Approaches to Comprehensive Performance Evaluation of Software Applications: A Systematic Literature Review Yauhen Unuchak, Tatyana Unuchak	989
76	Approaches to Evaluating the Quality of IT Project Documentation: A Systematic Literature Review Tatyana Unuchak, Yauhen Unuchak	1001
77	Izobraževanje na področju geografskih informacijskih sistemov: izkušnje, predlogi in izzivi <i>Learning in the Field of Geographic Information Systems: Experiences, Suggestions and Challenges</i> Marko Urh, Eva Jereb	1011
78	Impact of Service Quality and Pricing on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty in the Real Estate Industry in Croatia Danijela Vrbanc Dedeić, Dragan Benazić, Erik Ružić	1025

79	Spodbujevalni in zaviralni dejavniki pri odpiranju podatkov v javnih organizacijah <i>Open Data Drivers and Barriers within Public Organisations</i> Sanja Vrbeč, Damijana Keržič, Tina Jukić	1041
80	Cenovna občutljivost pri nakupu smučarskih kart: Primerjalna analiza slovenskih in tujih smučišč <i>Price Sensitivity and Ski Pass Purchases: A Comparison Across Slovenian and International Resorts</i> Goran Vukovič, Andrej Raspor, Niki Toroš	1057
81	Gibanje cen kave skozi čas: Analiza tržnih trendov v Sloveniji (1990–2023) <i>Coffee Price Trends Over Time: An Analysis of Market Dynamics in Slovenia (1990–2023)</i> Goran Vukovič, Andrej Raspor	1083
82	Pridobivanje litija v Evropi in možne posledice za okolje <i>Lithium mining prospects in Europe and possible environmental consequences</i> Davorin Žnidarič, Marjan Senegačnik	1107
83	Mikroplastika in bolezni srca in ožilja <i>Microplastics and Cardiovascular Disease</i> Davorin Žnidarič, Marjan Senegačnik	1121
84	Dinamični medsebojni vpliv tehnoloških inovacij na trajnostno proizvodnjo in ekološke sledi <i>Dynamic Interactions of Technological Innovations on Sustainable Production and EF</i> Štefan Žun	1135
	Zakaj je pomembno znati pisati tudi v dobi umetne inteligence? <i>Why is it Important to Know How to Write Even in the Age of Artificial Intelligence?</i> Vladislav Rajkovič	1151

REDUCING PRODUCTION COSTS BY MINIMIZING ERRORS IN THE OPERATIONAL PRODUCTION: A CASE STUDY OF COMPANY VENDOM

SANDRA ĐUKANOVIĆ,¹ DANICA LEČIĆ-CVETKOVIĆ,²
TEODORA RAJKOVIĆ²

¹ VENDOM d.o.o, Laktaši, Republic of Srpska
sandra.djukanovic@vendomdoo.com

² University of Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Serbia
danica.lecic-cvetkovic@fon.bg.ac.rs, teodora.rajkovic@fon.bg.ac.rs

Errors in operational production cannot be entirely eliminated, but they can be minimized to reduce their impact on production costs. These errors can affect several aspects, including increased material costs, waste generation, product quality, delivery delays, company reputation, and customer dissatisfaction. By identifying the root causes and applying various methods and techniques, it is possible to determine the most critical and frequent errors in operational production and develop effective corrective measures. The purpose of this study is to illustrate, through the example of the company Vendom, how to minimize costs arising from errors in the operational production, using the FMEA method for error identification. The results of the study is a proposed set of measures to reduce errors in operational production. These measures have a direct impact on reducing production costs.

DOI
[https://doi.org/
10.18690/um.fov.2.2025.17](https://doi.org/10.18690/um.fov.2.2025.17)

ISBN
978-961-286-963-2

Keywords:
production costs,
operational production
errors,
product quality,
FMEA,
error reduction measures



University of Maribor Press

1 Introduction

Product quality is one of the main conditions for a company to participate in local, regional or global markets since a high-quality product can provide a company with a competitive advantage. Product quality refers to the specificity of a product or service that impacts its ability to meet customers' specific needs (Sun, 2011). According to (Kotler & Armstrong, 2010), product quality is the ability of a product to perform its functions, including overall durability, reliability, accuracy, ease of operation and repair, as well as other product attributes. The good or poor quality of a product depends on the company's ability and standards to continuously meet consumer perceptions. For consumers, quality depends on their expectations of how the product will behave during its use.

Given the greater availability of choices, customer expectations of the products that they purchase grow over time and lead to decreasing tolerance for any type of error. Even minor or seemingly negligible errors become increasingly unacceptable. Customers are not concerned with why a product is defective, they only care that it is defective (Vasiljević et al., 2024). For this reason, modern companies pay special attention to improving operational production processes and internal control of their products, aiming to produce the highest possible quality. Lazibat and Baković (2020) argue that quality control, as a key factor in a company's production results, can be simply defined as the process of ensuring compliance with standards by involving observing current performances, comparing them with the standards and taking appropriate actions if significant deviations are detected.

For a company striving to be competitive in the market, increasing demands are placed not only on the quality of products or services but also on the quality of production and business processes, as well as the reliability of the entire quality system (Kumar, Maiti & Gunasekaran, 2018). This is because achieving functional product quality contributes to increased sales (realization) due to customer satisfaction (user), cost reduction, increased production and the coordinated actions of all participants in a business system (Lazibat, 2003). Errors in operational production cannot be completely eliminated, but they can be minimized in a way that reduces their impact on increasing production costs. These errors can impact material costs, waste generation, product quality, delivery delays, company reputation and customer dissatisfaction. By identifying the root causes and applying

various methods and techniques, it is possible to determine the most critical and frequent errors in operational production, as well as to design effective corrective measures. The first step in reducing production errors is identifying their root causes. Various methods are used for this purpose, such as Pareto Charts, 5 Whys, FMEA (Failure Mode and Effects Analysis), Six Sigma, Fishbone Diagrams and others. By identifying the root causes of errors, priorities can be set for addressing the most critical and frequent problems, that lead to the implementation of efficient corrective actions.

On the example of the Vendom company, this paper presents how minimization of errors in the operational production process, through the application of the FMEA method, can contribute to the reduction of production costs. The paper consists of four chapters. The first chapter provides introductory considerations related to the topic. The second chapter presents the theoretical concepts of the FMEA method, while the third chapter presents a case study of the company Vendom. The fourth chapter presents concluding remarks.

2 Failure Mode and Effects Analysis method

Errors made by humans are one of the main sources of failures in production operations and can arise due to various factors, such as lack of training, tiredness, distractions, stress, lack of concentration, poor communication and others. This type of error can impact the quality, reliability, safety and efficiency of products and processes, leading to customer dissatisfaction, increased waste, additional rework and even product recalls. To prevent or minimize human errors, manufacturers can use various methods, such as one of the most commonly applied, the systematic FMEA method, or analysis of operation modes and effects of failure/breakdown. FMEA is a proactive tool that identifies potential failures, their causes and consequences and prioritizes actions to reduce risks. By using the FMEA method, manufacturers can identify potential human errors that may occur in production operations and assess their impact and likelihood of occurrence (Demirkaya, 2022). The FMEA method can also help determine the root causes of human errors and suggest preventive or corrective measures to eliminate or reduce them.

In the manufacturing sector, FMEA has a crucial role in improving product quality and reliability, reducing waste and inefficiencies and ensuring customer satisfaction (Dobrović, Tadić, & Stanko, 2008). According to the same authors, by identifying potential failure modes and proactively implementing corrective measures, production facilities can prevent costly downtimes, rework and product recalls. The use of FMEA in the production process allows manufacturers to (Demirkaya, 2022):

- Identify and prioritize potential failures and their causes;
- Assess the effects of these failures on the overall production process;
- Develop and implement strategies to mitigate or eliminate these risks;
- Improve process control and product quality.

FMEA is a method that emerged in the 1950s, initially based on safety assessments of military systems in the United States. Due to its reliability, the use of this method quickly expanded not only within the US but also in France, where it was used to assess the safety of air traffic systems. Starting in the early 1960s, NASA adopted an updated version of the FMEA method due to the importance of safety and prevention of accidents in space projects (Stamatis, 2003). Later, in the 1980s, Germany implemented this method in its chemical and nuclear industries. In the second half of the 1980s, Ford's automotive manufacturing introduced the ISO 9000 quality standard to the US automotive industry by using this method, that has been applied worldwide in the automotive sector (Stamatis, 2003). The effectiveness of the FMEA method has also led to its adoption in healthcare centres to improve patient safety and emergency medical systems. Moreover, FMEA is widely used in electronics, chemicals and other manufacturing sectors to identify, prioritize, and address failures, deficiencies, and potential issues (Alizadeh, 2015).

The FMEA method is defined as a tool that prioritizes types of errors with the greatest impact on the entire system, rather than as a method for planning the identification of a large number of errors (Bahadır Ünal & Acar, 2016). The primary objectives of this method are to predict potential errors that may occur in a product or process, take preventive measures to avoid them and determine the degree of impact or criticality of individual types of errors.

In the literature (Mazlan, Yassin, & Kamaruddin, 2023), three types of FMEA are identified: DFMEA (Design FMEA), PFMEA (Process FMEA) and SFMEA (System FMEA). DFMEA primarily focuses on analyzing failure types related to design, helping companies identify and resolve design faults or weaknesses before the product enters production. PFMEA analyzes potential types of failures within the production or assembly process, aiming to prevent or reduce the likelihood of errors occurring during manufacturing. Finally, SFMEA evaluates potential types of failures in a system or subsystem, addressing issues related to component or subsystem integration and their overall functionality. Authors (Dobrović, Tadić, & Stanko, 2008) also mention a Service FMEA model, applying it to service analysis. Considering that the characteristics of services include intangibility, inseparability and the inability to store services, they are crucial in conducting this analysis before the requested service is provided to the user, ensuring the quality of the service and meeting end-user requirements (Dobrović, Tadic, & Stanko, 2008).

The implementation of FMEA in manufacturing involves several steps, where the first is identifying potential failure causes. This step includes identifying all potential factors that could lead to production process delays or defective products. These factors may include equipment failures, process disruptions, human errors and various other issues that can impact product quality. The outcome of FMEA analysis is the Risk Priority Number (RPN), a number calculated as the mathematical product of the values assigned to the Severity (S) of the consequences of a failure, the Probability of Occurrence (O) of the failure and the Detectability (D) of the failure. This calculation is presented by the following formula (1).

$$RPN = S \times O \times D \quad (1)$$

Table 1: Scale for Determining the Parameter S (Severity of Consequences)

Grade	Severity of Error Consequences
1-2	Can be neglected
3-4	Minor
5-6	Marginal
7-8	Major
9-10	Extremely significant

Source: Stamatis, 2003

For evaluating the parameters S, O and D are used scales, as shown in Tables 1–3. These scales may include descriptions for each score, depending on the author.

Table 2: Scale for Determining the Parameter O (Probability of Occurrence)

Grade	Probability of Occurrence
1-2	Very low
3-4	Minor
5-6	Medium
7-8	Major
9-10	Extremely significant

Source: Stamatis, 2003

Table 3: Scale for Determining the Parameter D (Detectability)

Grade	Detection Ability
1-2	Very Easy
3-4	Easy
5-6	Medium
7-8	Difficult
9-10	Very Difficult

Source: Stamatis, 2003

Taking into account the three given scales, the value of the RPN number is obtained, indicating the level of risk, whether is it necessary to respond and recommendations, as presented in Table 4.

Table 4: RPN Value and Corrective Actions

RPN Value	Reaction to RPN Value (Corrective Actions):
$RPN < 10$	No need for action
$10 < RPN < 100$	Greater adherence to prescribed procedures
$100 < RPN < 250$	Enhanced monitoring of the production process and introduction of certain corrections
$250 < RPN < 400$	Significant changes in the production process
$RPN > 400$	Excessive risk – termination of unprofitable production

Source: Stamatis, 2003

RPN values are listed from highest to lowest, according to the selected criteria. Higher RPN values have greater priority compared to lower to improve the production process, increase product quality and require faster and more efficient responses.

3 Case Study of the Company Vendom

This paper presents cost reduction in the production process through minimizing errors in operational production by applying the FMEA method, using the example of the company Vendom. Company Vendom produces a wide range of products in the metal industry. Real data from this company, collected during 2023, was used for the analysis.

3.1 Company Vendom

The company Vendom, Limited Liability Company (LLC), Laktasi was founded in 2003. It specializes in manufacturing metal products for both domestic and international markets, with a focus on the international market, primarily various types of waste disposal containers for the EU and South America. The company currently employs 200 workers and has an annual revenue exceeding 10 million euros. Vendom LLC, Laktasi is one of the largest exporters in the metal sector in Bosnia and Herzegovina. The most significant competitive advantages of the company are short delivery times, reliability and quality. The products of Vendom are distinguished by their design, innovation, and quality. The benefits of collaborating with this company for customers include responses to specific client requests and the uniqueness of the company's products, as well as product assembly, servicing and maintenance.

The product range of this renowned company includes industrial and residential fences, smaller steel structures up to 100 tons and demanding structures for industrial needs up to 1,000 tons. Within the Vendom product range, special emphasis is placed on underground and above-ground waste management systems, as well as cranes for container emptying. In addition, the company offers constructions, fences, steel moulds, underground and above-ground waste containers, cranes, steel structures for building construction and various products made of all types of steel manufactured according to customer requirements or solutions designed by the company's engineers.

In addition to its products, the company offers services to both domestic and international markets, including laser, plasma and gas sheet metal cutting, sheet metal bending, punching, welding, sandblasting, powder coating, assembly, repair,

reconstruction, concept design, product design and development according to customer requirements or its conceptual solutions. The company annually produces over 2,500 above-ground and underground waste containers, 50 cranes, 100,000 various metal products and more than 30 steel structures (Vendom, 2025).

3.2 Identified Issues in the Production of Vendom Company

The company has a reputation as a responsible company delivering proven and recognizable product and service quality. Most errors in the production process that impacted product quality have been identified through internal controls, ensuring that customers, except in exceptionally rare cases, have not received products with quality defects.

In 2023, Vendom incurred total costs of 16,189 [€] due to operational production errors, specifically for repairing or replacing defective products. These costs are shown in Figure 1, with details about monthly expenses related to production errors.




Figure 1: Vendom company's costs in 2023, due to operational production errors (in [€])

Source: (Vendom, 2025)

As shown in Figure 1, the highest costs for Vendom due to operational production errors occurred in May 2023. This was triggered by a customer complaint regarding poor joining of mouldings on platforms, resulting in a portion of the delivered platforms being returned for additional welding, leading to extra expenses. In this case, aside from the poorly executed welding operation, internal quality control of finished products also failed, as it did not detect the errors in question. In such cases,

the role of internal quality control is particularly crucial, as it is far more important for the company to identify defects before delivery to the customer. Furthermore, delivered products with legitimate complaints negatively impact the company's positive reputation.

In February 2023, there was also a significant increase in costs due to operational production errors when approximately 200 components were mistakenly sent for galvanizing before welding operations for steel flats had been completed. This indicates a disruption in the sequence of production procedures. The internal quality control detected the error, which was eliminated by first removing the zinc layer through grinding, after which the welding operations were completed and the components were re-galvanized. Additionally, during that month, costs increased due to two platforms being damaged (warped) during transport, necessitating subsequent straightening.

A detailed analysis of production operations, conducted in 2023 using the FMEA method, determined that all operational production errors at the Vendom company, mostly attributed to human resources, could be categorized into five groups (with associated costs for 2023 shown in parentheses):

1. Errors during internal transport (4,582 [€]);
2. Errors in bending (3,968 [€]);
3. Errors in welding (3,192 [€]);
4. Errors in preparation: laser cutting, plasma cutting and others (2,326 [€]);
5. Errors in preparation: saw cutting, eccentric press (2,121 [€]).

Table 5 shows the most common errors, i.e., errors that contributed the most to the costs of the Vendom company, along with the RPN value for each error.

3.3 Discussion

Observing the obtained RPN values presented in Table 5, it can be concluded that for each error, the values range from 12 to 126. By applying the corrective actions recommended by the FMEA method, that correspond to the measured RPN parameter values, as shown in Table 4, the main recommendations for Vendom company to reduce errors in operational production, thereby reducing overall

production costs, are to ensure greater adherence to prescribed procedures and intensify monitoring of the production process, introducing certain corrections.

Table 5: The most common errors and RPN values for each error

Internal transport errors	S	O	D	RPN
Warping and deformation of platforms during internal transport	3	4	1	12
Damage during internal transport	4	3	3	36
Errors during bending				
Reverse bending	4	2	5	40
Incorrect countersinking	4	3	7	84
Extremely poor bending leads to scrapping of materials	9	2	3	54
Welding errors				
Incomplete welding	4	4	7	112
Incorrect welding	5	3	6	90
Deformation during welding	5	3	3	45
Poor-quality welding	5	3	6	90
Corrosion due to poor welding	5	3	8	120
Welding instead of assembly	6	2	6	72
Errors in preparation: laser cutting, plasma cutting, etc.				
Warping of components during laser cutting	5	3	3	45
Incorrect countersinking	6	3	4	72
Errors in preparation: saw cutting, eccentric press				
Poorly cut pipes	8	3	2	48
Poorly finished container cladding	6	2	3	36
Incorrectly installed screws	6	3	7	126

To implement these FMEA recommendations and corrective measures, Vendom company introduced certain improvements to its operations. In its production cycle, the company uses state-of-the-art machines and tools, that were in the early stages of operation in 2023. However, the human factor was predominantly responsible for the errors and associated costs. To minimize such errors, the company conducted additional employee training on the operation of these machines and tools. There is a clear issue with internal transport, that significantly contributes to overall costs. During 2023, in the course of transporting finished products, not only the platforms were distorted and deformed, but the products also suffered significant damage. To address this issue and reduce these costs, the company has organized further training for employees responsible for transportation tasks and has invested in acquiring more suitable transport machinery for such products.

The most frequent bending errors arise from the human factor, such as reverse bending of components, incorrect countersinking and extremely poor bending, that rendered the product irreparable and needed to be scrapped. All these errors occurred due to non-compliance with clearly prescribed procedures, unskilled machine handling and a lack of concentration among employees. The recommendation is to further clarify each procedure to employees performing bending tasks to minimize these errors.

The majority of errors in the company occur during welding, typically involving incomplete, incorrect or poor-quality welding. Some errors result from failing to follow prescribed procedures, such as performing welding instead of assembly. The most easily noticeable errors of this type are deformations that occur during welding, while the most problematic are instances of corrosion caused by poor welding. Corrosion often becomes evident only after the product has been delivered, leading to higher costs. To address this, it is necessary to improve the skills of employees involved in welding to minimize such errors and to strengthen internal quality control to prevent the delivery of defective products.

Some errors occurred during the preparation phase, various types of cutting using lasers, plasma cutters, saws and eccentric press which latter being used for the quick forging of small-dimension objects. In these cases, common issues include warping of components during laser cutting, poorly cut pipes, poorly finished container cladding or the installation of incorrect screws. Errors that involve incorrect screws are the hardest to detect and are often only noticed when the product is already in use. In this situation, it is essential to frequently monitor compliance with prescribed procedures to reduce such occurrences to a minimum.

4 Conclusion

This paper presents the potential for reducing production costs by minimizing errors in the operational production process using the FMEA method in the company Vendom. Based on the obtained results and qualitative analysis of the RPN values for each error, it can be concluded that the company should implement stricter adherence to prescribed procedures, enhanced monitoring of the production process and introduce certain corrections, with internal quality control. The measures implemented based on the application of the FMEA method yielded

results as early as the second half of 2023. This is evident shows a decrease in the company's average monthly costs related to product quality, i.e. costs fell below 1,000 [€].

Acknowledgements

The paper was financially supported by the University of Belgrade, Faculty of Organizational Sciences, Belgrade, Republic of Serbia.

References

- Alizadeh, S. E. (2015). Failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) technique: a literature review. *Scientific Journal of Review*, (2015) 4(1), 1-6. doi:
- Bahadır Ünal, Z., & Acar, E. (2016). Analysis of possible production errors and their consequences: application in the production process of denim clothing. *Tekstil*, 65 (1-2), 25-29.
- Dobrović, T., Tadić, D., & Stanko, Z. (2008). The FMEA method in quality management. *Business Excellence*, Vol. II (2008), No. 2, 97-104.
- Demirkaya, F. (2022). FMEA application in production processes and its effects to the manufacturer. *Arhivum Wiedzy Inzynierskiej*, Tom 7 NR 1, 27-32.
- Kotler, P., & Armstrong, G. (2010). *Principles of Marketing*. London: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Kumar, P., Maiti, J., & Gunasekaran, A. (2018). Impact of quality management systems on firm performance. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 35(5), 1034-1059.
- Lazibat, T. (2003). Quality systems and the Croatian economy. *Economic Review*, 54(1-2), 55-76.
- Lazibat, T., & Baković, T. (2020). *Product knowledge and quality management*. Zagreb: Faculty of Economics.
- Mazlan, R. M., Yassin, A., & Kamaruddin, A. M. (2023). Failure Mode Effect Analysis in Turning of Mild Steel Under MQL Condition. *Int. Journal of Integrated Engineering*, Vol. 15 No. 5 (2023), 13-27.
- Stamatis, D. H. (2003). *Failure Mode and Effect Analysis: FMEA from Theory to Execution*. Milwaukee: ASQ Quality Press.
- Sun, M. (2011). Disclosing multiple product attributes. *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 20(1), 195-224.
- Vasiljević, D., Lečić-Cvetković, D., Đorđević Milutinović, L., Danilović, M., Cvetić, B., & Rakićević, Z. (2024). *Fundamentals of Operations Management*. Belgrade: Faculty of Organizational Sciences.
- Vendom d.o.o. (2025). *Products and Services*. Retrieved January 11th, 2025, from <https://www.vendomdoo.com/index.php/sr/proizvodi-2>



University of Maribor

Faculty of Organizational Sciences

March 19 – 21, 2025, Portorož, Slovenia